

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,097 04/19/2004		Richard N. Codos	010758-9012-00	1465	
7590 06/30/2006			EXAMINER		
Martin L. Steri	n	TRAN, LY T			
Michael Best &		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Two Prudential		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER		
	on Avenue, Suite 2000	2853			
Chicago, IL 60	0601	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,097		CODOS, RICHARD N.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Ly T. TRAN		2853			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the co	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSION SOLD IT THE MISSION OF THE MISSIO	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS  6(a). In no event,  I apply and will excause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time spire SIX (6) MONTHS from to too become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>RCE fi</i>	iled 6/5/06.					
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-	-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,9,12,27,31,32,34-40 and 45-53 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 36 and 37 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,9,12,27,31,32,34,38,39,45 and 48-53 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 35 and 40 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific or specific transfer in the oath or declaration is objected the specific or specific	e: a) accept ection to the dr g the correctio	pted or b) rawing(s) be bon is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date 6/12/06.		5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2853

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/5/06 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 46 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 46 recites "a second cooling fluid system" in line 16. However, there is no "first fluid cooling system" in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 47 is objected due to its dependency.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

3. Claims 1, 9, 12 13, 27, 31, 34, 39, 48, 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka (JP 63062738) in view of Jackson (New cold-curing high performance UV system) and Clearly (USPN 6,616,355).

With respect to claims 1, 9, 12, 27, 31, 34, 48, 39, 50, 51, Nagasaka discloses:

Substrate support defining a substrate supporting plane (fig.1: element 1,
 2

Page 3

- At least one ink jet print head on the carriage (Fig.1: element 5)
- Moving a print head carriage having an ink jet print head thereon approximately parallel to a substrate (Fig.1)
- Jetting ink from the heads across the predetermined distance onto the surface of the substrate (fig.1)
- at least one UV curing head on the carriage sufficiently close to the ink jet print head and the UV curing head being configured to emit sufficient UV energy to substantially cure the ink jetted onto the substrate( fig.1, Abstract)
- two UV curing heads (fig.1: element 10), one positioned on the carriage at
  each side of the print heads (5) so that one leads the print head and one
  tails the print head as the carriage moves on in either of two opposite
  directions on the track
- controller is operate to activate the UV curing head and the UV curing head is moveable relative to the plane and maintain focus of UV light from the printhead on ink jetted onto the surface of the substrate (abstract).

However, Nagasaka fails to teach cold UV curing head and cold UV includes a limited bandwidth UV source, a reflector, power consumption of at least 200 watts per linear inch and vacuum source to apply a vacuum to the substrate to help maintain a distance between the substrate and a print head.

Jackson teaches using cold UV to curing ink (page 8), reflector (page 8, figure 1), and power consumption of at least 200 watts per linear inch (Page 8: column 3). Since Jackson teaches using the cold UV to cure the ink, the substrate have to deform as it move in direction of print head and since the combination teach the UV cold, the same UV light would achieve the same effect such as to freeze the ink on the surface of the substrate without impinging radiation that would materially deform the substrate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to use cold UV as taught as Jackson. The motivation of doing so is to improve product quality.

Clearly teaches vacuum source to apply a vacuum to the substrate to help maintain a distance between the substrate and a print head (Column 3: line 50-55).

It would have been obvious to one have ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a vacuum to the substrate as taught by Clearly. The motivation of doing so is to prevent the substrate fall off the platen/support member.

4. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka (JP 63062733) in view of Cleary (USPN 6,616,355) and Jackson (New cold-

Art Unit: 2853

curing high performance UV system) as applied to claim 31 above, further in view of Anon (Taming UV temperature).

However, Cleary (355) fails the power consumption of at least 125 watts per linear inch.

Anon teach the power consumption of at least 125 watts per linear inch (page 19).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to have the power consumption of at least 125 watts per linear inch as taught by Anon. The motivation of doing is to obtain more economy curing.

5. Claims 45, 47, 48, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka (JP 63062738) in view of Jackson (New cold-curing high performance UV system), Clearly (USPN 6,616,355) and Rae (GB 2,258,296).

With respect to claim 45,47,48, 52 and 53, Nagasaka discloses:

- A print head carriage (fig.1)
- A printhead coupled to and movable with the carriage to different positions with respect to the deformable substrate and configured to jet ink onto the substrate (fig.1: element 5, 1)
- two UV curing head on the carriage sufficiently close to the ink jet print head and the UV curing head being configured to emit sufficient UV energy to substantially cure the ink jetted onto the substrate( fig.1,

Art Unit: 2853

 Moving a print head carriage having an ink jet print head thereon approximately parallel to a substrate (Fig.1)

However, Nagasaka fails to teach using the cold UV, reflector, cooling system configured to take heat away from the curing head, filtering undesired energy, vacuum for holding the substrate and emit light of at least 200 watts per linear inch.

Jackson teaches using cold UV to curing ink (page 8), reflector (page 8, figure 1), and power consumption of at least 200 watts per linear inch (Page 8: column 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as modify to use cold UV as taught as Jackson. The motivation of doing so is to improve product quality.

Clearly teaches vacuum source to apply a vacuum to the substrate to help maintain a distance between the substrate and a print head (Column 3: line 50-55).

It would have been obvious to one have ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a vacuum to the substrate as taught by Clearly. The motivation of doing so is to prevent the curling of the substrate.

Rae teaches reflector (fig.1: element 1), cooling system (element 8) configured to take heat away from the curing head, filtering undesired energy.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the cooling system as taught by Rae. The motivation of doing so is enable the lamp to be operated at lower outputs without loss of stability.

Art Unit: 2853

6. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka (JP 63062738) in view of Jackson (New cold-curing high performance UV system) and Clearly (USPN 6,616,355) as applied to claim 31 above, further in view of Pelletier (USPN 5,447,758).

The combination of Nagasaka, Jackson and clearly disclose the claimed invention except that printing on the substrate such that printing on the paper instead of cardboard. Pelletier shows that paper and cardboard is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because paper and cardboard were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute cardboard for paper for the same purpose such as using as a printing medium.

7. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka (JP 63062738) in view of Jackson (New cold-curing high performance UV system) and Clearly (USPN 6,616,355) and Rae (GB 2,258,296) as applied to claim 45 above, further in view of Pelletier (USPN 5,447,758).

The combination of Nagasaka, Jackson, clearly and Rae disclose the claimed invention except that printing on the substrate such that printing on the paper instead of cardboard. Pelletier shows that paper and cardboard is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because paper and cardboard were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it

Application/Control Number: 10/827,097 Page 8

Art Unit: 2853

obvious to substitute cardboard for paper for the same purpose such as using as a printing medium.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 36, and 37 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 35 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 35 and 40 are allowable over prior art of record because at least prior art have not been found to anticipate or teach a fluid cooling system coupled to the reflector.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2853

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT

June 22, 2006

6/23/06 MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER